arts. A collection of essays that define or discuss romanticism is Robert on Neo-classicism (1969) and on Romanticism (1979), stresses the visual Luc Nancy, The Literary Absolute: The Theory of Literature in German ticism: The Human Context (1988); Philippe Lacoue-Labarthe and Jean-McGann, The Romantic Ideology (1983); Marilyn Gaull, English Romanies: English Literature and Its Background 1760-1830 (1982); Jerome Forms of Ruin (1981); Marilyn Butler, Romantics, Rebels and Reactionar-F. Gleckner and Gerald E. Enscoe, eds., Romanticism: Points of View (rev., ity: Chapters in the History of Ideas (1990). Hugh Honour, in his books Romanticism (trans., 1988); Isaiah Berlin, The Crooked Timber of Humanstresses the relationship of innovative Romantic forms to the tradi-1975). In Poetic Form and British Romanticism (1986), Stuart Curran tional poetic genres.

New Criticism. tice that was prominent in American literary criticism until late in the 1960s. Ransom's The New Criticism in 1941, came to be applied to a theory and praccritics, and teachers of that era in the biographies of authors, the social conthe critical essays of T. S. Eliot. It opposed the prevailing interest of scholars, Principles of Literary Criticism (1924) and Practical Criticism (1929) and from The movement derived in considerable part from elements in I. A Richards' text of literature, and literary history by insisting that the proper concern of literary criticism is not with the external circumstances or effects or historical position of a work, but with a detailed consideration of the work itself as an often identified as New Critics are Allen Tate, R. P. Blackmur, and William K. writers of that time—in addition to Ransom, Brooks, and Warren—who are and even in high schools, for the next two or three decades. Other prominent cism the predominant method of teaching literature in American colleges, etry (1938) and Understanding Fiction (1943) did much to make the New Criti-Cleanth Brooks and Robert Penn Warren, whose textbooks Understanding Poindependent entity. Notable critics in this mode were the southerners This term, set current by the publication of John Crowe

background, sources, and biography to the detailed analysis of "literary texts themselves," shared some of the concepts of the New Critics and their anaas a concrete and life-affirming enactment of moral and cultural values; Tradition" of English literature in advancing the values of culture and "civihe stressed also the essential role in education of what he called "the Great American counterparts, however, in his emphasis on the great literary works lytic focus on what he called "the words on the page." He differed from his Joseph Conrad (1948); also Anne Sampson, F. R. Leavis (1992). University (1943, 2d ed. 1948); The Great Tradition: George Eliot, Henry James. uation: Tradition and Development in English Poetry (1936); Education and the lization" against the antagonistic forces in modern life. See F. R. Leavis, Reval-A very influential English critic, F. R. Leavis, in turning his attention from

points of view and procedures are common to many of them. The New Critics differ from one another in many ways, but the following

> (1) A poem, it is held, should be treated as such—in Eliot's words, "prichew reference to the biography and temperament of the author, to the social conditions at the time of its production, or to its psychocism is often classified as a type of critical formalism. isolation from its attendant circumstances and effects, the New Critisubject matter. Because of this critical focus on the literary work in course to the place of the work in the history of literary forms and tive fallacy). In analyzing and evaluating a particular work, they esdivert attention from the poem itself (see intentional fallacy and affeccriticism.) New Critics warn the reader against critical practices which tonomy of the work itself as existing for its own sake." (See objective tive, shall cite the nature of the object" and shall recognize "the augarded as an independent and self-sufficient verbal object. The first law of criticism, John Crowe Ransom said, "is that it shall be objecmarily as poetry and not another thing"—and should therefore be relogical and moral effects on the reader; they also tend to minimize re-

(2) The principles of the New Criticism are basically verbal. That is, literature is conceived to be a special kind of language whose attributes analyze the meanings and interactions of words, figures of speech, and of practical and logical discourse, and the explicative procedure is to ary work, of overall structure and verbal meanings, and we are warned symbols. The emphasis is on the "organic unity," in a successful literheresy of paraphrase." against separating the two by what Cleanth Brooks has called "the are defined by systematic opposition to the language of science and

(3) The distinctive procedure of a New Critic is explication, or close Criticism (1929) and William Empson's Seven Types of Ambiguity the New Criticism derives from such books as I. A. Richards' Practical the kind of explicative analyses of verbal interactions characteristic of been a formal procedure for teaching literature in French schools, but tion relevant to the full understanding of a word or passage) has long within a work. "Explication de texte" (stressing all kinds of informabiguities (multiple meanings) of the verbal and figurative components reading; the detailed analysis of the complex interrelations and am-

Jy eu " pften said to be organized around a central and humanly significant (4) The distinction between literary genres, although acknowledged, does Atheme, and to manifest high literary value to the degree that they primarily a "structure of meanings," which evolve into an integral tion of diverse impulses" or an "equilibrium of opposed forces." The manifest "tension," "irony," and "paradox" in achieving a "reconcilianot play an essential role in the New Criticism. The essential compoform of a work, whether or not it has characters and plot, is said to be are conceived to be words, images, and symbols rather than character, nents of any work of literature, whether lyric, narrative, or dramatic, thought, and plot. These linguistic elements, whatever the genre, are

and freestanding unity mainly through a play and counterplay of "thematic imagery" and "symbolic action."

that competing forces within the language of a lyric poem preclude the possithe diverse newer modes of "close reading"; some of these essays emphasize essays which—often in express opposition to the New Criticism—exemplify variety and subtlety of the devices that it made available for analyzing its criticism, but it has left a deep and enduring mark on the criticism and teachmuch of its force by the 1960s, when it gave way to various newer theories of as an aesthetic object." (See Krieger, The New Apologists for Poetry, 1956, and Theory of Criticism, 1976.) The revolutionary thrust of the mode had lost bility of a unified meaning. Patricia Parker (1985), is a collection of structuralist, poststructuralist, and other ing of literature, in its primary emphasis on the individual work and in the internal relations. Lyric Poetry: Beyond New Criticism, ed. Chavira Hošek and pelling, finally closed context," which prevents "our escape to the world of Krieger defined contextualism as "the claim that the poem is a tight, comadapted to the contextual criticism of Eliseo Vivas and Murray Krieger reference and action beyond," and requires that we "judge the work's efficacy The basic orientation and modes of analysis in the New Criticism were

guages of Criticism and the Structure of Poetry (1953); Gerald Graff, Poetic State-R. S. Crane, ed., Critics and Criticism, Ancient and Modern (1952), and The Lan-(1993); Susan Wolfson, Formal Charges (1997). ment and Critical Dogma (1970); Terry Eagleton, Literary Theory: An Introduction ature, 1995). For critiques of the theory and methods of the New Criticism, see the New Criticism" (1983), reprinted in Brooks, Community, Religion, and Literfense of New Criticism by its chief exponent, Cleanth Brooks, "In Search of History of Modern Criticism, Vol. 6 (1986); and the spirited retrospective de-Explication as Criticism (1963); the review of the movement by René Wellek, A and Present, ed. Joseph M. Kuntz (3d ed., 1980). See also W. K. Wimsatt, ed., cation: A Checklist of Interpretation Since 1924 of British and American Poems Past product of its approach to literary texts, as are the items listed in Poetry Expli-(1949) is a convenient collection of essays in this critical mode; the literary of literature. Robert W. Stallman's Critiques and Essays in Criticism, 1920–1948 (3d ed., 1964), which became a standard reference book in the graduate study proaches to literature in René, Wellek and Austin Warren, *Theory of Literature* (1954). The enterprises of New Criticism are privileged over alternative ap-Brooks, The Well Wrought Urn (1947), and W. K. Wimsatt, The Verbal Icon journal The Explicator (1942 ff.), devoted to close reading, is a characteristic Central instances of the theory and practice of New Criticism are Cleanth

**New Historicism**, since the early 1980s, has been the accepted name for a mode of literary study that its proponents oppose to the *formalism* they attribute both to the *New Criticism* and to the critical *deconstruction* that followed it. In place of dealing with a text in isolation from its historical context, new historicists attend primarily to the historical and cultural conditions of

its production, its meanings, its effects, and also of its later critical interpretations and evaluations. This is not simply a return to an earlier kind of literary scholarship, for the views and practices of the new historicists differ markedly from those of former scholars who had adverted to social and intellectual history as a "background" against which to set a work of literature as an independent entity, or had viewed literature as a "reflection" of the worldview characteristic of a period. Instead, new historicists conceive of a literary text as "situated" within the institutions, social practices, and discourses that constitute the overall culture of a particular time and place, and with which the literary text interacts as both a product and a producer of cultural energies and codes.

voices that represent diverse social classes; see dialogic criticism. (4) Recent dethe item with those meanings. ular social production or event so as to recover the meanings it has for the culture is constituted by distinctive sets of signifying systems, and his use of velopments in cultural anthropology, especially Clifford Geertz' view that a the general patterns of conventions, codes, and modes of thinking that invest people involved in it, as well as to discover, within the cultural system what he calls thick descriptions—the close analysis, or "reading," of a particliterary texts, in the sense that they incorporate a number of conflicting other, merged with Mikhail Bakhtin's concept of the dialogic nature of many criticism that all texts involve modes of signification that war against each nal, or insane, or sexually deviant. (3) The central concept in deconstructive considered to be humanly normal as against what is considered to be crimiwhat is at the time accounted "knowledge" and "truth," as well as what is and that as a result, the particular discursive formations of an era determine these elements are both products and propagators of "power," or social forces; into being the concepts, oppositions, and hierarchies of which it speaks; that discourse of an era, instead of reflecting preexisting entities and orders, brings classes; see ideology under Marxist criticism. (2) Michel Foucault's view that the "subjects" them—that is, subordinates them—to the interests of the ruling the users of language as the "subjects" in a discourse, in a way that in fact ing literature, and also that ideology operates covertly to form and position the discourse of each of the semi-autonomous institutions of an era, includist thinker, Louis Althusser, that ideology manifests itself in different ways in structuralism). Especially prominent are (1) The views of the revisionist Marxthe result of concepts and practices of literary analysis and interpretation that have been assimilated from various recent poststructural theorists (see post What is most distinctive in the new mode of historical study is mainly

In an oft-quoted phrase, Louis Montrose described the new historicism as "a reciprocal concern with the historicity of texts and the textuality of history." That is, history is conceived not to be a set of fixed, objective facts but, like the literature with which it interacts, a text which itself needs to be interpreted. Any text, on the other hand, is conceived as a discourse which, although it may seem to present, or reflect, an external reality, in fact consists of what are called representations—that is, verbal formations which are the